## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JEAN WESLEY SAINTILMA :

Petitioner : CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:09-0556

v. : (VANASKIE, D.J.) (MANNION, M.J.)

MARY E. SABOL, Warden; U.S. :

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND

SECURITY CUSTOMS : ENFORCEMENT OFFICE OF

DETENTION AND REMOVAL :

Respondent :

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On March 26, 2009, the petitioner filed the instant action pursuant to <u>28</u> <u>U.S.C. §2241</u>, in which he challenges his detention pending his removal from the United States. (Doc. No. <u>1</u>.) An order to show cause was issued on April 2, 2009. (Doc. No. <u>3</u>). A response to the petition was filed on April 22, 2009. (Doc. No. <u>5</u>). In that response, the government indicated that on April 15, 2009 the petitioner was removed from United States and returned to Haiti.

A petition for writ of habeas corpus is the exclusive federal remedy for a prisoner challenging the "very fact or duration" of his confinement and seeking "immediate release or a speedier release from that imprisonment."

Preiser v. Rodriguez, 411 U.S. 475, 498-99 (1973); Leamer v. Fauver, 288

F.3d 532, 542-44 (3d Cir. 2002). A district court is authorized to "entertain an application for a writ of habeas corpus in behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court only on the ground that he is in

custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. §2254(a) (2006) (emphasis added). The determination of whether a person is "in custody" is made at the time the petition is filed. Spencer v. Kemna, 523 U.S. 1, 7 (1998). Custody is an expansive concept that includes not only a person's physical detention, but also his subjection to restrictions upon his freedom. Id.; Maleng v. Cook, 490 U.S. 488, 491-492 (1989). Once a court has determined that a petitioner was in custody at the time he filed his petition, the court generally retains jurisdiction over the case even if the petitioner is released prior to the disposition of the case. Carafas v. LaVallee, 391 U.S. 234, 237-40 (1968). But, unless the petitioner is challenging his underlying conviction—in other words, if the petitioner is challenging solely his custody and not the cause of it—he must show that he continues to suffer collateral consequences of that custody to avoid the case being moot and the court divested of jurisdiction. Spencer, 523 U.S. at 7; Lane v. Williams, 455 U.S. 624, 631-33 (1982); North Carolina v. Rice, 404 <u>U.S. 244, 246 (1971)</u>; DeFoy v. McCullough, 393 F.3d 439, 441-42 (3d Cir. 2005); United States v. Kissinger, 309 F.3d 179, 181 (3d Cir. 2002). When an alien subject to removal challenges only his detention pending removal, his

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In contrast, "[a]n incarcerated convict's (or a parolee's) challenge to the validity of his conviction always satisfies the case-or-controversy requirement, because the incarceration (or the restriction imposed by the terms of the parole) constitutes a concrete injury, caused by the conviction and redressable by invalidation of the conviction." Spencer, 523 U.S. at 7.

release pending removal, whether or not conditional, entails no collateral

consequences. It renders the petition moot because he has received all the

relief he sought and would have been entitled to. Sanchez v. Attorney

General, United States, 146 Fed.Appx. 547, 549 (3d Cir. 2005) (not

precedential); Riley v. Immigration & Naturalization Serv., 310 F.3d 1253,

1257 (10th Cir. 2002); Jones v. Dep't of Homeland Sec., 325 F.Supp.2d 551,

554 (E.D. Pa. 2004).

Here, the instant petition is moot. The petitioner has been removed from

the United States and is no longer subject to detention pending removal.

Therefore, the petitioner has received the relief he sought in this court, and

there is nothing more that the court could do for him.

On the basis of the foregoing, IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT:

the petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus, (Doc. No. 1), be

**DISMISSED** as moot.

s/ Malachy E. Mannion

United States Magistrate Judge

Date: April 23, 2009

O:\shared\REPORTS\2009 Reports\09-0556-01.wpd

3